Simultaneous Fluorescent Labeling of Proteins in Living Cells

Introduction

Specific labeling of proteins via self-labeling SNAP-tag® and CLIP-tag™ provides an innovative tool for studying the function and localization of proteins in live and fixed cells (1,2). Covalent protein labeling brings simplicity and versatility to the imaging of mammalian proteins in living cells, as well as the ability to capture proteins *in vitro*. The creation of a single genetic construct generates a fusion protein which, when covalently attached to a variety of fluorophores, biotin or beads, provides a powerful tool for investigating proteins via fluorescent imaging, pull-down, and other biochemical analyses. Unlike some commonly used autofluorescent proteins, the fluorescent signal from a self-labeling tag can be initiated upon addition of a label, allowing time-resolved studies of protein expression, localization and degradation. A collection of non-fluorescent substrates that block SNAP- and CLIP-tag reactivity enables pulse-chase studies and assessment of the temporal dynamics of nascent protein synthesis and complex formation in live cells (3).

General Protocol

- 1. Seed trypsinized U2OS cells in an 8-well Lab-Tek II Chambered Coverglass (Nalgene #155409).
- 2. Co-transfect the cells with 0.3 μg each of SNAP $_f$ and CLIP $_f$ fusion protein construct and incubate samples for 18-24 hours at 37°C, 5% CO $_{,}$.
- Remove transfection complex media, wash cells twice with complete media, and label cells with 3 μM SNAP-Cell® TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S) and 5 μM CLIP-Cell™ 505 (NEB #S9217S) labeling media for 30 minutes at 37°C, 5% CO₃.
- 4. Remove the labeling media and add media containing 5 μ M Hoechst 33342 to the cells for 2-3 minutes for nuclear counterstaining (optional).
- 5. Wash cells 3X with complete media then incubate the samples for 30 minutes at 37°C, 5% CO₂ to allow unincorporated substrate to diffuse out of the cells.
- 6. Replace media one last time and proceeded to imaging.




Figure 1. Orthogonal labeling of SNAP_r-tubulin and CLIP_r-H2B fusion proteins transiently expressed in live U2OS cells. Cells were labeled with 3 μM SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (red) and 5 μM CLIP-Cell 505 (green) for 30 minutes and counterstained with Hoescht 33342 (blue) for nuclei.

DNA CLONING

DNA AMPLIFICATION & PCR

EPIGENETICS

RNA ANALYSIS

SAMPLE PREP FOR NEXT GEN SEQUENCING

PROTEIN EXPRESSION & ANALYSIS

CELLULAR ANALYSIS

Materials

- pCLIP,-H2B (NEB #N9218S)
- pCLIP_f-Cox8A (NEB #N9217S)
- pSNAP,-tubulin (not a catalog product)
- CLIP-Cell[™] 505 (NEB #S9217S)
- SNAP-Cell® TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S)
- U2OS or HeLa cells
- DMEM medium
- Hoechst 33342
- Lab-Tek II Chambered Coverglass (Nalgene)



Results

SNAP, and CLIP, tags for Fluorescent Labeling

SNAP_f and CLIP_f are improved versions of SNAP- and CLIP-tags with increased reaction rates for their fluorescent substrates (4,5). Simultaneous dual labeling of SNAP_f and CLIP_f fusion proteins provides researchers with a unique tool to study proteins with rapid dynamics or fast turnover rates in living cells (3). Figure 1 shows the orthogonal labeling of two proteins in live cells: SNAP_f-Tubulin, (a dynamic protein that polymerizes into microtubules), and CLIP_f-H2B (histone H2B, a nuclear protein involved in chromatin structure). Figure 2 shows the orthogonal labeling in live HeLa cells transfected with pSNAP_f-tubulin and pCLIP_f-Cox8A (mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 8A). The data clearly demonstrate specific labeling of the appropriate target proteins, confirming that SNAP_f and CLIP_f can be used for orthogonal protein labeling in living cells. The fluorescent labeling can be performed simultaneously or sequentially, depending on the experimental needs.




Figure 2. Orthogonal labeling of SNAP_T-Tubulin and CLIP_t-Cox8A fusion proteins transiently expressed in live HeLa cells. Cells were labeled with 3 μM SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (red) and 5 μM CLIP-Cell 505 (green) for 30 minutes and counterstained with Hoescht 33342 (blue) for nuclei

Summary

Live cell imaging using fluorescent tags has been widely used by researchers to study protein expression and location. Its applications include assessing protein dynamics, cellular structures, and organogenesis.

CLIP_f and SNAP_f tag are valuable tools for the selective labeling of proteins in live cells. As both tags have been engineered to react with distinct classes of substrates, the system can simultaneously visualize two proteins in the same cellular environment. The dynamics of their interaction, localization and stability can be readily examined following a variety of cellular stimuli.

References:

- 1. Keppler, A. et al. (2003) Nat. Biotechnol. 21, 86-89.
- 2. Keppler, A. et al. (2004) PNAS, 101, 9955–9959.
- 3. Gautier, A. et al. (2009) Chem. Biol. 15, 128-136.
- 4. Sun, X. et al. (2011) ChemBioChem, 12, 2217–2226.
- 5. Pellett, P. A. et al. (2011) *Biomed. Opt. Expr.* 2, 2364–2371.



Labeling and Imaging of Cell Surface Receptors Mediated by SNAP-tag

Introduction

Many plasma membrane receptors, such as G-protein coupled receptors (GPCR), play a vital role in cell signaling and are often the therapeutic targets for drug discovery. Fluorescent imaging of the receptor pool on the plasma membrane of live cells is made possible by extracellular expression of a SNAP-tag[®] fused to a receptor of interest and labeled with a cell membrane-impermeable substrate. The SNAP-tag enabled surface labeling technique provides a unique approach to monitoring localization, trafficking and turnover of a variety of cell membrane localized proteins (1-6).

The specific labeling and internalization of a GPCR protein, $\beta 2$ Adrenergic Receptor (ADR $\beta 2$), is exemplified by using SNAP_f-tag as the fusion partner. To utilize this system, the coding region of ADR $\beta 2$ is first cloned in-frame to the C-terminus of the pSNAP_f vector with a signal peptide coding sequence inserted upstream. The SNAP_f-ADR $\beta 2$ fusion protein is inserted in the plasma membrane with the SNAP_f-tag exposed to the extracellular side of the membrane. The following procedure is performed to visualize localization and internalization of SNAP_f-ADR $\beta 2$ using fluorescent microscopy after labeling with a SNAP-Surface fluorescent substrate. In addition, a comparative analysis of the SNAP_f-ADR $\beta 2$ and eGFP-ADR $\beta 2$ fusion constructs has been demonstrated.

General Protocol

- 1. Seed trypsinized cells in an 8-well Lab-Tek II Chambered Coverglass (Nalgene #155409).
- 2. Co-transfect the cells with 0.3 μg each of SNAP_f-ADR $\beta 2$ and eGFP-ADR $\beta 2$ fusion protein construct and incubate samples for 18-24 hours at 37°C, 5% CO₃.
- 3. Remove transfection complex media, wash cells twice with complete media, and label cells with 5 μ M SNAP-Surface 549 (NEB #S9112S) labeling media for 30 minutes at 37°C, 5% CO₃.
- 4. Remove the labeling media and add media containing 5 μ M Hoechst 33342 to the cells for 2-3 minutes for nuclear counterstaining (optional).
- 5. Wash cells 3X with complete media and proceeded to imaging.




Figure 1. Live cell imaging of surface localization and internalization of ADR β 2 receptor fused to SNAP,-tag. A: Labeling of live U2OS cells transfected with pSNAP,-ADR β 2 was carried out on ice for 15 minutes in the presence of 5 μ M SNAP-Surface 549 (NEB #S9112S) followed by washing and imaging by a confocal fluorescence scanning microscope. B: Internalization of ADR β 2 was visualized by confocal microscopy after labeling live HEK293 cells transiently expressing SNAP,-ADR β 2 with 1.7 μ M of SNAP-Surface 549 (NEB #S9112S) for 15 minutes.

DNA CLONING

DNA AMPLIFICATION & PCR

EPIGENETICS

RNA ANALYSIS

SAMPLE PREP FOR NEXT GEN SEQUENCING

PROTEIN EXPRESSION & ANALYSIS

CELLULAR ANALYSIS

Materials

- pSNAP_ε-ADRβ2 (NEB #N9184S)
- peGFP-ADRβ2
- SNAP-Surface® 549 (NEB #S9112S)
- HEK293 or U2O cells
- · DMEM medium
- Hoechst 33342
- Lab-Tek II Chambered Coverglass (Nalgene)



Results

Localization and Internalization of Surface Receptors Fused to SNAP,-tag

Live cell labeling of the SNAP $_{\rm F}$ ADR $\beta2$ pool on the plasma membrane was conducted using a cell membrane-impermeable substrate, SNAP-Surface 549 (NEB #S9112S). The fluorescent signals of the surface localized receptor population were visualized by confocal fluorescence scanning microscope. As shown in Figure 1, the receptor endocytosis in cells transfected with SNAP $_{\rm F}$ -ADR $\beta2$ can be monitored without the background from the intracellular pool of the fusion proteins. Figure 2 shows fluorescent images of HEK293 cells transiently co-expressing eGFP-ADR $\beta2$ and SNAP $_{\rm F}$ -ADR $\beta2$. When labeled with a cell-impermeable SNAP-Surface substrate, HEK293 cells give a distinct cell membrane fluorescent labeling pattern. In contrast, eGFP-ADR $\beta2$ yields fluorescent signals from both the cell surface and intracellular compartments. A higher intracellular background of eGFP-ADR $\beta2$ makes it more difficult to specifically monitor the internalization of tagged receptor. The data demonstrate a major advantage of the SNAP-tag labeling technique over the use of auto-fluorescent protein tags for the study of receptor trafficking.




Figure 2. Confocal microscopy of live HEK293 cells transiently co-expressing SNAP,-ADR β 2 and eGFP-ADR β 2. Live cell labeling was carried out for 20 minutes on ice with 5 μ M SNAP-Surface 549 (NEB #S9112S) and nuclei staining with Hoechst 33342.

Summary

The use of SNAP-tag cell-impermeable substrates enables the fraction of a plasma membrane protein present on the surface of the cell to be visualized through specific labeling. This approach permits the discrimination of different populations of a cell surface protein: those properly translocated to the plasma membrane from those retained in the secretory pathway or already internalized, e.g., upon ligand binding. A wide range of selection of cell impermeable SNAP-Surface substrates offers excellent properties for the study of receptor localization, trafficking and protein-protein interactions. Our recent work together with the scientists at Yale University has further expanded the applications of the surface labeling approach by conducting live cell super-resolution microscopy of SNAP_f tagged epidermal growth factor receptor and its ligand labeled with photostable organic dyes (5).

References

- 1. Keppler, A. et al. (2003) Nat. Biotechnol. 21, 86-89.
- 2. Keppler, A. et al. (2004) PNAS, 101, 9955–9959.
- 3. Farr, G. A. et al. (2009) J. Cell Biol. 186, 269-282.
- 4. Sun, X. et al. (2011) ChemBioChem, 12, 2217-2226.
- 5. Pellett, P. A. et al. (2011) *Biomed. Opt. Expr.* 2, 2364–2371.
- 6. Maurel, D. et al. (2008) *Nat. Methods*, 5,561-567.

Development of SNAP_f and CLIP_f: Fast-labeling Variants of SNAP and CLIP tags

Introduction

The ability to monitor highly dynamic processes is integral to the understanding of how proteins function in a cellular environment. Processes such as protein synthesis, transport, and degradation can occur on a timescale measured in minutes rather than hours. Additionally, protein expression, localization, and degradation are often rapidly modulated during the course of a variety of cellular processes. In order to effectively study proteins with rapid dynamics or fast turnover rates, researchers need tools to monitor these events in real time.

Results

SNAP, and CLIP, tags Fast-labeling Systems

The SNAP- and CLIP-tag are self-labeling tags based on human O6-alkylguanine-DNA-alkyltransferase (hAGT), a DNA repair protein (1-3). New England Biolabs has developed improved versions of these tags, termed SNAP_f and CLIP_f SNAP_f carries 19 amino acid substitutions and a C-terminal deletion compared to wild-type hAGT, and 10 extra mutations compared to SNAP26m (an earlier mutant version). The new polylinker sequences allow expression of pSNAP_f vector (NEB #S9183S) on either the N- or C-terminus of the protein of interest, under control of the CMV promoter. The CLIP_f expression vector pCLIP_f (NEB #S9215S) bears the same backbone and compatible polylinkers. Both vectors contain a neomycin resistance (NeoR) gene for selection of stable transfectants and an IRES element for efficient expression of both the fusion protein and NeoR.

SNAP $_{\rm f}$ and CLIP $_{\rm f}$ exhibit faster labeling kinetics than their predecessors in both *in vitro* and in live cell assays (4,5). When comparing the reactivity of SNAP $_{\rm f}$ and SNAP26m towards several fluorophore conjugates, SNAP $_{\rm f}$ shows up to 10-fold increased *in vitro* activity relative to SNAP26m (Table 1). The time required for 50% labeling of SNAP $_{\rm f}$ at 1 μ M protein concentration with 5 μ M SNAP-Surface 488 (NEB #S9124S), SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S), SNAP-Surface 549 (NEB #S9112S), and SNAP-Surface Alexa Fluor 647 (NEB #S9136S) was calculated to be 11, 12, 13, and 34 s, respectively. SNAP $_{\rm f}$ reacts with a wide range of benzylguanine substrates with efficiencies greater than 90% after a 5 min incubation and greater than 95% and after a 30 min incubation (Table 2).

General Protocol

- Seed trypsinized U2OS cells stably transfected with pSNAP_f-H2B (or pSNAP26m-H2B) in an 8-well Lab-Tek II Chambered Coverglass (Nalgene #155409).
- 2. Incubate sample for 18-24 hours at 37°C, 5% CO₂.
- 3. Remove transfection complex media, wash cells twice with complete media, and label cells with 3 μ M SNAP-Cell® TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S) labeling media from 0 to 30 minutes at 37°C, 5% CO₂.
- 4. Lyse cells in 1X SDS loading buffer.
- 5. Analyze total protein from $\sim 10^5$ cells per lane by SDS-PAGE.
- 6. Transfer samples onto a NC membrane.
- 7. Scan and visualize using Typhoon 9400 Imager (Ex./Em. filter set: 532/580 nm).
- 8. Perform Western blot analysis with anti-Tubulin antibody (1:2000) to assess protein level.

DNA CLONING

DNA AMPLIFICATION & PCR

EPIGENETICS

RNA ANALYSIS

SAMPLE PREP FOR NEXT GEN SEQUENCING

PROTEIN EXPRESSION & ANALYSIS

CELLULAR ANALYSIS

Materials

- pSNAP_f-H2B (NEB #N9186S)
- SNAP-Cell® TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S)
- U2OS cells
- DMEM medium
- · Anti-Tubulin antibody
- Lab-Tek II Chambered Coverglass (Nalgene)



Table 1. Kinetics properties of $SNAP_f$ and SNAP26m

| SUBSTRATE | MUTANT | T _½ [S] | K _{OBS} [M ⁻¹ S ⁻¹] | REL. RATE |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| SNAP-Surface 488 (NEB #S9124S) | SNAP26m | 88 | 1583 | 1 |
| | SNAP _f | 11 | 12183 | 7.6 |
| SNAP-Cell 505 (NEB #S9103S) | SNAP26m | 28 | 4945 | 1 |
| | SNAP _f | 11 | 12447 | 2.5 |
| SNAP-Cell Oregon Green (NEB #S9104S) | SNAP26m | 654 | 212 | 1 |
| | SNAP _f | 111 | 1249 | 5.9 |
| SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S) | SNAP26m | 90 | 1536 | 1 |
| | SNAP _f | 12 | 12033 | 7.8 |
| SNAP-Surface 549 (NEB #S9112S) | SNAP26m | 129 | 1074 | 1 |
| | SNAP _f | 13 | 11138 | 10.4 |
| SNAP-Surface Alexa Fluor 647 (NEB #S9136S) | SNAP26m | 219 | 634 | 1 |
| | SNAP _f | 34 | 4768 | 7.5 |

Activities of SNAP, and SNAP26m mutants were measured using proteins isolated with the IMPACT protein expression and purification system. The relative rates are calculated by comparing the $k_{\rm obs}$ value of SNAP, to that of SNAP26m labeled with the same substrate at 25°C.

Table 2. Labeling Efficiency of SNAP, tag

| | LABELIN | LABELING TIME | |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|---------------|--|
| SUBSTRATE | 5 MIN | 30 MIN | |
| SNAP-Cell TMR-star (NEB #S9104S) | 95% | 95% | |
| SNAP-Cell 505 (NEB #S9103S) | 93% | 95% | |
| SNAP-Cell Fluorescein (NEB #S9107S) | 95% | 95% | |
| SNAP-Cell Block (NEB #S9106S) | 95% | 95% | |
| SNAP-Surface 488 (NEB #S9124S) | 94% | 95% | |
| SNAP-Surface Alexa Fluor 488 (NEB #S9129S) | 95% | 95% | |
| SNAP-Surface 549 (NEB #S9112S) | 92% | 95% | |
| SNAP-Surface Alexa Fluor 546 (NEB #S9132S) | 95% | 95% | |
| SNAP-Surface 647 (NEB #S9137S) | 93% | 95% | |
| SNAP-Surface Alexa Fluor 647 (NEB #S9136S) | 95% | 95% | |
| SNAP-Surface Block (NEB #S9143S) | 90% | 95% | |
| SNAP-Vista Green (NEB #S9147S) | 95% | 95% | |
| SNAP-Biotin (NEB #S9110S) | 95% | 95% | |

The labeling reactions were performed in the presence of 5 μ M SNAP, protein and 10 μ M substrate at 25°C. The reactions were analyzed by mass spectrometry to detect the unlabeled and labeled SNAP, species.

NEW ENGLAND

BioLabs nc.

enabling technologies in the life science.

Results

SNAP, Protein Labeling in Living Cells

Time course labeling using SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S) exemplifies the superior rate of reaction of SNAP $_{\rm f}$ over SNAP26m (Fig. 1). The results demonstrate that labeling of SNAP $_{\rm f}$ -H2B can be visualized after an incubation time as short as 5 minutes using 1 μ M SNAP-Cell TMR-Star, whereas at least 15 minutes are necessary for the detection of a distinct signal for labeled SNAP26m-H2B. The significantly enhanced labeling of SNAP $_{\rm f}$ fusion is consistent at every labeling time point from 5–30 minutes.




Figure 1. Improved labeling efficiency of SNAP, fusion proteins in live U2OS cells. Stable U2OS cells expressing SNAP, H2B and SNAP-H2B were labeled with 1 μ M SNAP-Cell TMR-Star from 0 to 30 min. Labeling efficiency was evaluated by SDS-PAGE and in-gel fluorescence scanning after cell lysis. Tubulin was used as protein expression control.

Summary

The new generation of fast self-labeling ${\rm SNAP_f}$ and ${\rm CLIP_f}$ systems opens new avenues for analysis of highly dynamic processes in living cells with high spatial-temporal resolution. The improved kinetics of ${\rm SNAP_f}$ and ${\rm CLIP_f}$ associated with their unique molecular specificity enables the investigation of proteins with regard to their dynamic behavior, including cellular trafficking, stability and interactions in complex biosystems.

References:

- 1. Keppler, A. et al. (2003) Nat. Biotechnol. 21, 86-89.
- 2. Keppler, A. et al. (2004) PNAS, 101, 9955–9959.
- 3. Gautier, A. et al. (2009) Chem. Biol. 15, 128-136.
- 4. Sun, X. et al. (2011) ChemBioChem, 12, 2217-2226.
- 5. Pellett, P. A. et al. (2011) *Biomed. Opt. Expr.* 2, 2364–2371.

